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## Main Research Questions

(1) Does the proportion of persons living together with a partner decrease?
(2) Is living together with a partner social selective? Does it change?

## Theoretical Background

Economics of the family:

- reduced incentives to marry, but still strong incentives to live together
- improved employment prospects for women, less traditional division of labour


## Data Base

- GESIS-Microcensus Trendfile, 1962-2006
- birth cohorts from 1870 onwards
- Germans, living in West-Germany, aged 16-92 (sample size $\cong 10$ million)
(1) Trends in Living Together with a Partner - Across the Life Course of Cohorts

Living together with a partner shows ...

- a falling trend in younger ages, indicating that union formation is postponed
- a falling trend in middle adulthood, indicating that union formation is decreasing and/or union dissolution is increasing
- a rising trend in old age, in particular for women, as a result of unbalanced sex ratios in the cohorts affected by Second World War and more balanced sex ratios in younger cohorts

Proportion of men living together with a partner, by age and cohort (in \%)
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## (2) Social Selective Patterns of Living Together with a Partner

## Logistic Regression Modelling

- age and logarithm of age to model the sickle-shaped trend of living with a partner over the life course
- cohort and interaction of age and cohort to model the age-specific trend in living with a partner over the cohorts

Predicted probability of women living together with a partner, by age and cohort


## Effects of Education

Men: low impact of education, relatively low odds of living together with a partner only for those without qualification
Women: clear negative effect of education

Living together with a partner: effects of education (ß-coefficients)

|  | men | women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| without vocational education | -0,51* | $-0,15^{*} \uparrow$ |
| elementary education and vocational training | 0 | 0 |
| intermediate general education and vocational training | -0,02 | -0,27* |
| general maturity certificate and vocational training | -0,15* | -0,44* |
| technician / master craftsman | 0,24* | -0,44* |
| lower tertiary education | 0,10* | -0,62* |
| higher tertiary education | -0,10* | -0,64* |
| still in school / training | -1,13* | -1,70* |
| Nagelkerke R ${ }^{2}$ | 0,38 | 0,32 |

## Changes in Effects of Education

Men: effects of education remain rather stable over the cohorts
Women: slight change in the educational effects over the cohorts, greatest decrease in the odds among women without qualification

Predicted probability of women living with a partner, age 35 , by education and cohort


